



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

SJN

Docket No: 01350-12

5 December 2012

[REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 4 December 2012. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 28 May 1969. Although your record is incomplete, the Board found that on 18 August 1970, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for 18 days of unauthorized absence and missing ship's movement. Subsequently, it appears you were processed for separation by reason of convenience of the government due to substandard performance and behavior or inability to adapt to military service. After being afforded your procedural rights, your case was forwarded to the separation authority recommending a general discharge. The separation authority concurred and directed that you receive a general discharge. You were so discharged on 28 August 1970.

The Board, in its review of your application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, such as your youth and record of service. Nevertheless, the Board concluded these factors were not sufficient to warrant recharacterization of your discharge given your NJP for the serious offense of missing ship's movement and substandard performance. The Board believed you were fortunate to receive a general characterization of service, since

Sailors who are separated for misconduct such as yours normally receive other than honorable discharges. Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,


W. DEAN PFEIFFER
Executive Director